| Row Labels | Sum of GIS_Acres |
|--------------------|---------------------|
| DF-dry | 2553 |
| DF-dry/Rocky Mtn | 3076 |
| ES-SF | 36 |
| Mix | 1047 |
| RC-WH | 116 |
| Rocky Mtn | 2698 |
| Rocky Mtn/RC-WH | 1625 |
| Rocky Mtn/SF-LP | 88 |
| SF-LP | 183 |
| (blank) | |
| Grand Total | 11422 |

| Row Labels | Sum of GIS_Acres |
|--------------------|---------------------|
| 1 | 169 |
| 2 | 1 |
| 3 | 7717 |
| 5 | 71 |
| 1,2 | 3 |
| 1,3 | 313 |
| 2,3 | 10 |
| 3,5 | 3138 |
| (blank) | |
| Grand Total | 11422 |

CNF Structure classes: 5 classes

| Class | Structure | Definition |
|-------|-------------|---|
| 1 | Early | Trees less than 10" dbh or canopy cover < 10% |
| 2 | Mid Open | Trees 10-20" dbh, canopy cover $\geq 10\%$ and $< 40\%$ |
| 3 | Mid Closed | Trees 10-20" dbh, canopy cover ≥ 40% |
| 4 | Late Open | Trees ≥ 20 " dbh, canopy cover $\geq 10\%$ and $<40\%$ |
| 5 | Late Closed | Trees ≥ 20" dbh, canopy cover ≥ 40% |

Tree size is from the QMD.75 LiDAR layer

Canopy cover is from: all_cover_above2_30METERS LiDAR layer

Tree structure is classified into five general groups based on diameter and canopy cover as shown in the table above. The diameter is based on the quadratic mean diameter in inches of trees whose heights are in the top 25% of all tree heights in the stand. This generally means that the diameters of the larger co-dominant trees in a stand are used to define the structure class.

CNF Structure classes: 6 classes

| Class | Structure | Definition |
|-------|--------------|---|
| 0.5 | Early Open | Canopy Cover <10% or Trees less than 10" dbh & |
| | | canopy cover 10 - 39.9% |
| 1 | Early Closed | Trees less than 10" dbh canopy cover ≥ 40% |
| 2 | Mid Open | Trees 10-20" dbh, canopy cover $\geq 10\%$ and $< 40\%$ |
| 3 | Mid Closed | Trees 10-20" dbh, canopy cover ≥ 40% |
| 4 | Late Open | Trees ≥ 20 " dbh, canopy cover $\geq 10\%$ and $<40\%$ |
| 5 | Late Closed | Trees ≥ 20 " dbh, canopy cover $\geq 40\%$ |

Large Trees – Groups of large trees were identified using LiDAR Canopy Heights.

Count (#Groups): 290

Min Group Size: 0.1 acres

Max Group Size: 31 acres

Total Ac: 587

Average size: 2

FACTS

| Row Labels | Sum of GIS_ACRES |
|---|---------------------|
| Commercial Thin | 1201.21 |
| Group Selection Cut (UA/RH/FH) | 306.56 |
| Seed-tree Seed Cut (with and without leave trees) (EA/RH/NFH) | 298.17 |
| Shelterwood Establishment Cut (with or without leave trees) (EA/RH/NFH) | 1196.44 |
| Single-tree Selection Cut (UA/RH/FH) | 56.71 |
| Stand Clearcut (EA/RH/FH) | 3409.32 |
| Grand Total | 6468.41 |

Insects and disease – 2015 survey less than 1,000 acres, mostly DF beetle, MPB, W. Spruce Budworm, and Fir engraver

Draft Proposed Activities:

Total proposed treatment acres, harvest and fuels (approx.): 12,311

Total Project Area: 32,164

Total Project Area, Federal Land Only: 26,157

Potential Treatment Activities, System:

| Underburn | Precommercial Thin | RHCA Restoration* | Skyline | Tractor |
|-----------|--------------------|----------------------|---------|-----------|
| 889 | 520 ac | 278 ac | 276 ac | 10,348 ac |

Total Harvest ac – 11,422

Potential Treatment Activities, Silvicultural Method – Harvest Method (approx.):

| Commercial Thinning (includes RHCA Restoration) | 5,467 acres |
|--|-------------|
| Regeneration Harvest (shelterwood, group selection, selection) | 2,714 acres |
| Combination of Thin/Regeneration | 2,721 acres |
| Precommercial Thinning | 520 acres |

11,422 total acres all harvest treatments. 10,902 mechanical treatments

Potential Post-Harvest Activities, Major actions (approx.):

| Grapple Piling | 6,167 |
|------------------|-------|
| Underburn | 4,735 |
| Cut/Leave in PCT | 520 |

^{*}Using old data – this proposed activity may be changing.

Potential Changes to National Forest System Roads (approx.):

| Boulder Park Road Proposals | Miles | On Existing Road Prism? | |
|--|-------|-------------------------|---------|
| · | | Yes (mi) | No (mi) |
| Change roads currently open to vehicular traffic to closed road status | 11.1 | 11.1 | 0 |
| New road construction/Keep Closed | 13.3 | 4.9 | 8.4 |
| post-project | (12)1 | ? | 0.4 |
| New road construction/Keep Open post-project | 0.42 | 0 | 0.4 |
| Temporary road for harvest activities | 8.3 | 4.8 | 3.5 |
| Decommission NFS roads | 25 | 25 | - |
| Total New NFS Road Construction: (excludes temp roads) | 13.7 | 4.9 | 8.8 |

¹ Special Note: There is an extra 1.3 miles of "New System Road, Closed" showing in the table above. The total project addition of closed new road would be approximately 12 miles because of redundant road mileage

For example, 2 route options are identified in 34 N, R 43 E, Sections 6 and 7 to relocate the 2600360 road entrance.

²The new national forest system road construction is a small segment reroute on the 3100436 (Gardiner Creek).

Objective: define P&N

The group brainstormed the following ideas:

- A. Support local infrastructure and produce/contribute to timber supply and
- B. Recreation identify opportunities to improve trail systems and support motorized and non-motorized recreation use
- C. Assess road system and identify opportunities to improve aquatic systems, remove fish passage barriers, and
- D. Identify opportunities to coordinate activities/management objectives across land ownership boundaries
- E. Encourage landscape restoration and manage for desired future condition and resistance and resilience to climate-related disturbance
- F. Support wildlife habitat development for species in the area
- G. Support fuels management and wildfire fighter safety
- H. Maintain and support the presence of the Air Force Survival School (they maintain county and USFS roads)